Theoretical Physics V

SS 2014 Assignment V

Prof. Dr. Wilhelm-Mauch

http://qsolid.uni-saarland.de/?Lehre:TP_V

14.5.2014

Due date 21.5.2014

Problem 1 The LC transmission line

The LC oscillator of Fig. 1 is one interesting physical system that can be described by a harmonic oscillator Hamiltonian

$$H = \frac{q^2}{2C} + \frac{\varphi^2}{2L},\tag{1}$$

where L and C are respectively the inductance and capacitance, q is the charge and φ the magnetic flux conjugate to the charge variable. A transmission line can be regarded as the continuum limit of a linear chain of LC oscillators, as in Fig. 2 where the total classical Hamiltonian is given by

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{i} \left(\frac{q_i^2}{2C} + \frac{\varphi_i^2}{2L} - \frac{1}{L} \varphi_i \varphi_{i+1} \right).$$
(2)

- a) Find the dispersion relation for the linear chain and determine the first Brillouin zone. (2 point)
- b) What is the quantum mechanical Hamiltonian describing this system? Find the normal operators associated with it and write the Hamiltonian in its diagonal form. (2 point)



Abbildung 1: LC oscillator

Abbildung 2: Linear chain of LC oscillators.

Problem 2 Space-time commutation relations

Since the creation and annihilation operators do not commute, the field operators $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r},t)$, $\hat{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{r},t)$ and $\hat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r},t)$ will in general not commute.

a) Using the series expansion of the field operators in the Coulomb gauge, evaluate the space-time commutation relations

•
$$\left[\hat{E}_i(\mathbf{r}_1,t_1),\hat{E}_j(\mathbf{r}_2,t_2)\right].$$

• $\left[\hat{E}_i(\mathbf{r}_1,t_1),\hat{B}_j(\mathbf{r}_2,t_2)\right].$

Hint: replace the sum over the discrete momentum variables by an integral with respect to continuous variables

$$\sum_{\mathbf{K}} \to \left(\frac{L}{2\pi}\right)^3 \int d^3k \tag{3}$$

and make use of the singular function

$$D(\mathbf{r},t) \equiv -\frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3k}{k} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \sin(\omega t), \qquad (4)$$

whose angular integrals can be easily carried out in spherical coordinates. (2 point)

b) From the quantized Hamiltonian for the field, show that the Heisenberg equations of motion for fields $\hat{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{r},t)$ and $\hat{\mathbf{B}}(\mathbf{r},t)$ coincide with Maxwell equations for the free fields. (2 point)

Problem 3 Kinetic and canonical momenta

a) The Lagrangian for a particle with mass m and charge q in electromagnetic field is

$$L(\mathbf{x}, \dot{\mathbf{x}}, t) = \frac{m}{2} \dot{\mathbf{x}}^2 - qU(\mathbf{x}, t) + q\dot{\mathbf{x}} \cdot \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x}, t).$$
(5)

Here U and \mathbf{A} are scalar and vector potentials, respectively, that are defined by the equations

$$\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A}, \quad \mathbf{E} = -\nabla U - \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t}, \tag{6}$$

where \mathbf{B} is the magnetic field and \mathbf{E} the electric field. Derive the Lorentz equation

$$m\ddot{\mathbf{x}} = q\mathbf{E} + q\dot{\mathbf{x}} \times \mathbf{B} \tag{7}$$

by starting from Lagrange's equations

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}_j}\right) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial x_j}.$$
(8)

Above the index j refers to the Cartesian components x, y, and z of the position coordinate.

Hint: Use the vector identity

$$\nabla(\mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{G}) = (\mathbf{G} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{F} + (\mathbf{F} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{G} + \mathbf{G} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{F}) + \mathbf{F} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{G}).$$
(9)

(1 point)

- b) The quantity $\mathbf{p}^{kin} \equiv m\dot{\mathbf{x}}$ is called *kinetic* momentum while the *canonical* momentum is defined by $p_j^{can} \equiv \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}_j}$. Calculate \mathbf{p}^{can} for the Lagrangian (5) and express it in terms of \mathbf{p}^{kin} .
- c) Given the Lagrangian (5), write the classical Hamiltonian $H(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}^{can}) \equiv \mathbf{p}^{can} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{x}} L$. You need to express H in terms of \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{p}^{can} . Show that \mathbf{p}^{can} satisfies Hamilton's equation

$$\frac{dx_j}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_j} \tag{10}$$

and that the Hamilton's equation

$$\frac{dp_j}{dt} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x_j} \tag{11}$$

implies Lorentz equation (7). Does \mathbf{p}^{kin} satisfy Hamilton's equations? (0.75 points)

d) In the quantization of the theory the operators $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{p}}^{can} = -i\hbar\nabla$ for position and canonical momentum are introduced. They satisfy the canonical commutation relation $[\hat{\mathbf{x}}, \hat{\mathbf{p}}^{can}] = i\hbar$. Write the operator $\hat{\mathbf{p}}^{kin}$ describing kinetic momentum in terms of $\hat{\mathbf{p}}^{can}$ and the vector potential **A**. Show that the expectation value of the kinetic momentum $\langle \hat{\mathbf{p}}^{kin} \rangle$ is invariant under the gauge transformations

$$\mathbf{A} \to \mathbf{A}' = \mathbf{A} + \nabla \chi, \tag{12}$$

$$U \to U' = U - \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial t},$$
 (13)

$$|\psi\rangle \to |\psi'\rangle = e^{iq\chi/\hbar}|\psi\rangle.$$
 (14)

Here $\chi = \chi(\mathbf{x}, t)$ is an arbitrary scalar function and $|\psi\rangle$ an arbitrary quantum state. Is $\langle \hat{\mathbf{p}}^{can} \rangle$ invariant under gauge transformations? (0.5 points)

e) Consider a Lagrangian $L(x_1, x_2, \dot{x}_1, \dot{x}_2)$ that depends on two variables x_1 and x_2 and introduce the complex variable $X = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x_1 + ix_2)$. Let us show that X and its complex conjugate X^* can be treated formally as independent variables. In particular, show that X and X^* satisfy Lagrange's equations, i.e.,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{X}}\right) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial X}, \quad \frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{X}^*}\right) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial X^*}.$$
(15)

(0.75 points)

Hint: Use the chain rule for partial derivatives.

f) For the Lagrangian of item e it is convenient to define canonical momentum by

$$P \equiv \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{X}}\right)^*.$$
 (16)

Prove the following commutation relations

$$[\hat{X}, \hat{P}] = [\hat{X}^{\dagger}, \hat{P}^{\dagger}] = 0, \quad [\hat{X}^{\dagger}, \hat{P}] = [\hat{X}, \hat{P}^{\dagger}] = i\hbar.$$
(17)

Why is the complex conjugation in the definition (16) convenient? (0.75 points) Hint: $\hat{x}_1, \hat{p}_1^{can}$ and $\hat{x}_2, \hat{p}_2^{can}$ satisfy canonical commutation relations.